This document is version 8 of first report and includes the implementation details of current deliverable of “Development of Type 2 Hypervisor for MIPS64 based Systems” project, funded by National ICT R & D Fund Pakistan. The report starts with brief description of project objectives, technical details of our approach, challenges and their solutions. Complete description of testing infrastructure, test cases and test results are discussed later on. The report concludes with the impact of current deliverable on the overall project progress.
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1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The main objective of this project is to develop an open source Type-2 hypervisor, for Linux-based MIPS64 embedded devices. Type-2 means that it is a hosted hypervisor which runs on MIPS64 based Linux systems as a Linux process. It is intended that the hypervisor will (1) support installation and execution of un-modified MIPS64 Linux guest(s) on un-modified MIPS64 Linux host (2) take advantage of virtualization for improved hardware utilization and performance optimization, by using multiple MIPS cores. Our focus on MIPS is due to the fact that MIPS based systems are lagging behind in the use of virtualization. One of the reasons is that many MIPS based processors are used in low end consumer devices like TV set top box, GPS navigation system and printers. There isn’t a clear cut use case for virtualization here. But few of the MIPS vendors target higher end embedded devices like network switches and routers, GSM/LTE base station equipment and MIPS based blade servers. There are clear-cut virtualization use cases for this higher-end MIPS segment.

The development started on April 1, 2013 and first deliverable was due after 3.5 months i.e. July 15, 2013. In first deliverable, we built the required infrastructure. The infrastructure printed guest kernel banner on console at the end of 1st deliverable. Second deliverable was due after 6.5 months of commencement data i.e. October 15, 2013. The milestone in 2nd deliverable was the dynamic code patching of one sensitive guest instruction with one safer instruction. In 3rd deliverable, dynamic code patching was augmented by implementing cases where one sensitive instruction is replaced by more than one instruction. In 4th deliverable, dynamic code patching was applied on demand. In 5th deliverable, guest kernel booting completes and starts creating user mode processes. In 6th deliverable, SMP support was added to whole infrastructure and many performance related bugs were fixed. In 7th deliverable major units like Timer and UART were added, in code. Exception and interrupt handling mechanism was further developed. Bugs related to memory implementation and instruction execution were fixed. In 8th deliverable, virtual disk implementation was added to the infrastructure. Also Ethernet card detection inside the guest has been done. There were some bug fixes related to timer, TLB and exception handling mechanism.
2 HIGH LEVEL DESIGN

Type-2 hypervisor behaves like an ordinary Linux process that could be scheduled by host operating system. However, this process has to present a holistic view of virtual hardware for guest operating system(s) to run on it. Virtual hardware consists of software representations of CPU cores, memory and peripheral devices. In real hardware, CPU cores and devices work concurrently and could be considered as processes or threads in software representation. Multiprocessing requires inter-process communication (IPC) whereas multithreading could be implemented using the shared address space. Each one has its own pros and cons. We selected multithreaded design for our hypervisor, as shown in Figure 1. It shows that each core and device is a separate thread. Central interrupt unit (CIU) is another thread that dispatches pending interrupts to the cores using mapped memory.

![Multithreaded design of Type-2 hypervisor](image)

FIGURE 1: Multithreaded design of Type-2 hypervisor

3 IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

Primarily, we have experimentally implemented two different strategies to develop Type-II hypervisor. Firstly, we implemented an instruction level strategy. This strategy is very simple and easier to implement but it greatly reduces time efficiency in order to boot a guest OS because
we take trap and then emulate every instruction of the guest OS. It also demands a lot of programming effort because we have to provide almost all MIPs' ISA functionality implementation in our hypervisor code. Secondly, on the other hand, we also implemented a block level technique for the execution of guest OS. Because in this strategy, we fetch and translate a set of instructions at a time instead of a single instruction emulation that’s why it can be considered a better and faster approach form the previous strategy. We discussed both implementations as follows.

3.1 **INSTRUCTION LEVEL EXECUTION MODEL**

It is a very simple mechanism to execute a Guest executable binary on the hypervisor. In this strategy, when executable guest OS is loaded then we patch all instructions of the guest OS binary with a trap-call instruction and original instructions are placed into a lookup table (hash-table). Patching means an instruction is replaced with another instruction, which is caused to generate a trap during its execution. By doing so, when the control is shifted on the guest application binary for its execution then we get a signal from the hardware on each instruction because of its patching. This signal is catch by the signalHandler into the hypervisor code, a method which is able to catch signal generated by the hardware. Now the control comes back to our hypervisor code and we can emulate the corresponding instruction into our software based environment also called a virtual environment. In software based environment, we actually have a complete soft image of MIPS’s processor.

We have all GP (General Purpose) registers, CP0 registers, TLB, CIU and exception handling mechanism in our software based environment, which is provided by the hypervisor to the guest operating systems for their execution.
3.2 **Block Level Execution Model**

This strategy is very much different from the above mentioned strategy because it provides block level instruction emulation instead of instruction level trap & emulation mechanism. A block consists of a set of instructions having only one jump/branch instruction as a second last instruction. In this strategy, we actually fetch a block from a corresponding PC address of the guest OS loaded binary and then translate this block. Each instruction in the block fetched from Guest binary is translated into a number of instructions, which are executable on the host machine. The translated instructions are composed in the same order as the original instructions are given in the Guest binary block to preserve the correct behavior of instructions. The translated block is then placed into a Cache-blocks for future reusing purpose so that if the same block is required again then no need to fetch again and retranslate that block if it’s available in
the Cache-blocks. After block translation we execute it by just placing the starting address of this block into PC register so that this block can be executed.

During execution a block, the control may come into hypervisor code in case of address translation from guest virtual address to host virtual address or any other interrupts or for log files writing purpose. The first block in fetched from the guest virtual reset vector 0xBFC00000 address and then it is translated and after its translation, it is placed into some fixed location memory where control is then shifted at that memory location for the execution of translated block. When whole the execution of translated block is completed, the control comes back into the hypervisor code for fetching the next block. Now, we first check whether the new required block is available into Cache-blocks or not. If it is found in the Cache-blocks then it doesn't need to fetch again and retranslate the required block because the Cache-blocks already have this translated block into it. The control is just placed on the new block, which has been found into the Cache-blocks. Alternatively, if required new block doesn't found into the Cache-blocks then it is fetched, translated and also cached into the Cache-blocks. The Cache-blocks may contain some fixed number of translated blocks into it when it becomes full then one block from it, is replaced by new translated block based on some replacement policy. Figure 3 shows the flow chart of block level execution model of hypervisor.
FIGURE 3: Block level execution model
4 SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT

The whole infrastructure of the hypervisor is divided into modules. The implementation functional description of each unit is given detail below.

4.1 MEMORY MANAGEMENT UNIT (MMU)

It is the most important unit of a computer system. The purpose of memory management unit is to translate virtual addresses to physical addresses. For virtual address translation, some rules are already defined by physical hardware and we implemented these rules in software to provide the virtualization of MMU used by guest operating system(s). In case of hypervisor, it is used to translate GVA to HVA. To translate GVA to GPA, we use same method as used by the hardware. For translation of GPA to HVA, we use hash map to store information of all regions mapped in host virtual address space.

4.1.1 GVA TO GPA TRANSLATION

MIPS64 architecture supports both 32-bit and 64-bit Addressing modes. In 32-bit addressing mode, address segment is defined by upper 3 bits (i.e. bits 32-29) of virtual address. If these bits are 100 then it is kseg0 region. It is directly mapped to physical memory. If these bits are 101, address is from kseg1 region and this is also directly mapped to physical memory. In both previous cases, lower 20 bits represent physical address. For 110, region is ksseg. This is not directly mapped and we have to search for it in TLB for address translation. For 111, region is kseg3 which is not directly mapped and we have to search TLB for valid entry to translate the address. If these bits are 0xx then it is useg. Translation for useg is slightly different. If ERL bit of status register of CP0 is set then useg is directly mapped to physical memory. If ERL bit is not set then we have to check TLB to get physical address.

In 64-bit addressing mode, address segment is defined by upper 2 bits (i.e. bits 63-62) of virtual address. If these bits are 10, then this is xkphys region which is directly mapped to physical memory or I/O devices. If 49th bit of virtual address is 0 then it is memory access and lower 29 bits represent physical address of memory. If 49th bit is 1 then it is I/O address and data is load/store from respective device. If these bits are 11 then it is xkseg region which isn't directly mapped and we have to search TLB for valid address translation. For 01, region is xsseg
which is also to be searched in TLB for translation. For 00, region is xuseg. If ERL bit of status register of CP0 is set then it is directly mapped otherwise TLB translation would be required.

### 4.1.2 GPA to HVA Translation

All physical memory regions of a machine are mapped in virtual address space of hypervisor. Once we get the valid translation for GVA, we have to translate that physical address to HVA in order to access valid data. After getting valid physical address, we found the memory region or I/O device to which it belongs. We simply find HVA for required memory region or I/O device using hashmap. Once we get a valid GVA-to-HVA translation, we can simply execute the respective instruction.

### 4.1.3 Page Table

In MIPS no physical page table is provided by hardware and page table is solely managed by operating system. Hence, there is no need to implement page table.

### 4.1.4 Translation Look-aside Buffer (TLB)

TLB is a cache used to speedup virtual address to physical address translation. In case of type 2 hypervisor, TLB translates GVA to HVA. There are four basic TLB functions: probe, read, write-random and write-index. TLB probe searches for a TLB entry using the value of EntryHi register of co-processor 0 (CP0). If valid entry is found, it places index of TLB entry in CP0 index register, otherwise it sets probe bit of index register and consult page table. TLB read gets value from CP0 index register and checks the validity of data at this index. If data is valid, the components of entry (i.e. entryHi, entryLo0, entryLo1 and page-mask) are moved to corresponding CP0 registers. Otherwise TLB read raises invalid data exception. TLB write-random gets index of TLB entry from CP0 random register and checks the validity of data at the index. If entry is dirty, it raises dirty data exception, otherwise it writes corresponding values of CP0 registers (i.e. entryHi, entryLo0, entryLo1 and page-mask) to the TLB entry at that index. TLB write-index works same as TLB write-random except that it gets index value from CP0 Index register.

On TLB miss or TLB Mod exception, we jump to the exception handler entry point from where the kernel determines which kind of exception it is and service the exception.
**TLB Restructuring:** TLB structure and searching mechanism is modified to accommodate changes related to core mask.

At boot time when control passes from uboot to Linux kernel, core mask is changed which caused tlb exception in previous implementation and kernel crashes but on such thing happens on actual hardware. Some debugging revealed that searching mechanism on hypervisor is slightly different from hardware. In order to search tlb entry, hardware uses pagemask of each entry placed in tlb instead of using pagemask value of CP0 register.

### 4.1.5 CAVIUM SEGMENT IMPLEMENTATION

CVMSEG is cavium specific memory segment. CVMSEG resides in KSEG3 region and all memory reference in address range 0xFFFFFFFFFFFF8000 - 0xFFFFFFFFFFFFBFFF are treated specially by MIPS core. Access to this segment is controlled by setting CvmMemCtl[CVMSEGENA*] flags and size of this segment is controlled by CvmMemCtl[LMEMSZ] field. CVMSEG has two portions

1. CVMSEG LM = 0xFFFFFFFFFFFF8000 - 0xFFFFFFFFFFFF9FFF
2. CVMSEG IO = 0xFFFFFFFFFFFFA000 - 0xFFFFFFFFFFFFBFFF

CVMSEG LM is a segment that access portion of DCache as local memory. Larger the size of this segment, smaller the size of DCache. CVMSEG IO has only one legal address 0xFFFFFFFFFFFFA200 and store to this address issues IOBDMA command which returns data from IO bus to CVMSEG.

Operating system normally uses this region as scratch pad memory and register values are stored at these locations during context switching. Implementation of this region was crucial for successful booting.

### 4.2 MIPS INSTRUCTION SET TRANSLATION

MIPS instructions are mainly categorized as R, I and J types. “R” category contains those instructions which use on gp registers. I types involves an immediate value plus register and J type has target address field, no registers to manipulate.

The idea is to not completely emulate the instruction but rather change the registers
embedded in instruction and execute it on hardware as it is. The registers that are replaced are first loaded with the expected contents. These loading instructions are also written in assembly language. The complete translation of an instruction will have some loading instructions then the actual reconstructed instruction and then some storing instructions. Control shifting and flow control instructions are treated differently.

We have a memory based copy of all registers (i.e. GP, CP and special registers) which belongs to guest OS. After the execution of particular instruction, guest's registers would also be updated accordingly. For translating mips instructions into equivalent set of instructions which will produce same results in the registers kept for guest, we use 3 gp registers. The expected contents (from host’s point of view) of the registers are first loaded in these registers and then replaced in instruction to be executed. Results are then saved to our memory based registers.

The categories are based on the type of instruction, privileged or unprivileged, how many registers are used, what is destination register and how the fields are manipulated.

Below are the categories and the instructions included in them are also mentioned.

4.2.1 Privileged Instructions
Instructions which involve Co-processor 0 registers are privileged instructions and can’t be executed in user mode. Privileged Instructions are treated separately.

- **mfc0**: The translated set of instructions will load the contents from particular cp register and store in the place of destination gp register in the memory.
- **mtc0**: The translated set of instructions will load the contents from particular gp register and store in the place of destination cp register in the memory. It also checks whether destination register is $0 or not. If it is then the instruction is replaced with “nop”. Certain bits of cp registers are reserved. To avoid over writing them, masking is used.
- **tlbr/ tlbwi/ tlbwr/ tlbp**: In case of tlb instructions, an integer is placed on a particular place in the memory and control is shifted back to handlerRequest(). The control mark indicates the instruction to be handled accordingly.
- **di** (disable interrupts): First the contents of status register is loaded. If any destination register is given then the contents of status register is stored at destination register. First bit of status register is cleared to disable interrupts and the contents are stored in the status register.
• **ei** (enable interrupts): First the contents of status register is loaded. If any destination register is mentioned then the contents of status register is stored at destination register. First bit of status register is set to enable interrupts and the contents are stored in the status register.

• **eret** (exception return): when exception routine end, eret is executed to return to the pc from where we have received exception. In its implementation we first check whether erl bit of status register is set or not. If set then error epc is returned, if not then epc value is returned. The returned value is assigned as next pc to be executed.

### 4.2.2 Unprivileged Instructions

Unprivileged Instructions are grouped on the basis of their type and functionality.

- **unprev\_R**
  - All those R-type unprivileged instructions, which use 3 gp registers. 2 source gp register and one destination gp register.
  - Includes: baddu, dmul, dpop, pop, or, sllv, dsllv, srlv, dsrlv, rotv, drotv, srav, dsrav, movz, movn, add, addu, dadd, daddu, sub, dsub, subu, dsubu, and, xor, nor,slt, sltu, mul, wsbh, seb, seh, dsbh, dshd, clz, clo, dclz, dclo, seq, sne (40 total)
  - First 2 source registers are loaded from memory into register $12$ and $13$. The register in the instruction to be translated is replaced with these registers and executed as it is. The result is stored on the destination memory based gp register.

- **shift\_R**
  - All those R-type unprivileged instructions, which are shift instruction and the no. of times to be shifted is encoded in instruction itself (i.e field from bit 6 to 10). 1 source gp register and 1 destination gp register.
  - Includes: dsrl, srl, dsll, sll, drot, rotr, dsra, sra, drot32, dsll32, dsrl32, dsra32 (12 total)
  - First source register is loaded from memory into register 12. The register in the instruction to be translated is replaced with the register and executed as it is. The result is stored in the destination memory based gp register.
• **mulDiv_R**
  - All those R-type unprivileged instructions, which multiple or divide and the destination registers are special register HI and LO (opposite to mul instruction included in uprev_R, whose destination is also a gp register) and 2 source gp registers.
  - Includes: dmult, mult, dmult, multu, ddiv, div, ddivu, divu, madd, maddu, msub, msubu (total 12)
  - First source registers are loaded from memory into registers 12 and 13. The instruction to be translated is replaced with these registers. After the execution of these instructions the result will be in HI and LO special registers. Mflo and mfhi is executed after these instructions. The result is stored in the guest's Hi and LO.
  - For instruction “madd”, HI and LO are registers of the hardware is also updated first before executing it.

• **moveFromLoHi_R**
  - For moving contents from HI and LO special registers into the gp registers, contents are loaded from HI and LO and saved at the place of destination gp register.
  - Includes: mflo, mfhi (total 2)

• **moveToLoHi_R**
  - For moving contents to HI and LO special registers from gp registers, contents are loaded from particular gp register and saved at the place Hi or Lo register.
  - Includes: mtlo, mthi (total 2)

• **ext_R (extract)**
  - These are R-type instructions, whose fields are used differently than the previous categories. Bit 16-20 are used for destination register and bits 11-15 are used for size. 1 gp source and 1 gp destination register is used.
  - Includes: ext, dextm, dextu, dext, exts, exts32 , (total 6)
  - Source register is first loaded in register 12. Then instruction to be translated in executed with 12 and 13 registers. The result in 13 register is stored in the destination gp register.
• **ins_R (insert )**
  - These are R-type instructions, whose fields are used differently than the previous categories. Bit 16-20 are used for destination register and bits 11-15 are used for size. 1 gp source and 1 gp destination register is used. Similar to extract but the difference is that destination register is also loaded before the execution of instruction.
  - Includes: ins, dinsm, dins, dinsu, cins, cins32 (total 6)
  - Source and destination registers are loaded in register 12 and 13 respectively. Then instruction to be translated in executed with 12 and 13 registers. The result in 13 register is stored in the destination gp register.

• **unprev_I**
  - All those I type instructions which use 1 source and 1 destination register (except lui which have no source register but the translation would not produce any error if translated in this category).
  - Includes: daddi, daddiu, addiu, slti, sltiu, andi, ori, xori, lui, addi, seqi, snei (12 total)
  - Source register is loaded. Instruction to be translated is executed with register 12 and the result is saved in the destination register's place.

• **unprev_I_Load**
  - All I-type load instructions
  - Includes: ldl, ldr, lb, lh, lw, lbu, lhu, lwu, li, lld, ld (total 13)
  - First the address from where the contents would be loaded is translated in terms of hypervisor. For that the address which needs to be translated is saved on a particular location and control is given to the handler. The translated address is loaded in the register and then the load instruction is executed. The loaded contents are saved on the destination register.

• **unprev_I_Store**
  - All I-type store instructions
  - Includes: sdl, sdr, sb, sh, swl, sw, sh, swr, sw, sc, sed, sd (total 12)
  - First the address from where the contents would be stored is translated. For that the address which needs to be translated is saved on a particular location and control is
given to the handler. The translated address is loaded in the register and then the store instruction is executed.

- **LL and SC:** Load-Linked and Store Conditional are two instructions which are used to atomically implement read-modify-write using a special LLBit. Assembly instructions are added to translation for correct implementation.

### 4.2.3 CAVIUM SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS

These instructions don’t have the standard R, I or J format. Their format is a bit different along with a little difference in their operation from standard instructions.

- **saa**
  - This instruction atomically adds a word to a memory location.
  - This is similar to a store but this instruction directly accesses a memory location contents and adds least significant 32 bits of gp register and save to same memory location. All this operation is done without any interrupt or execution of any other instruction.
  - Other store instructions store the contents of gp register to a particular memory location.
  - The difference in translation is due to the different format of the instruction. Other store instruction has an offset field but this instruction doesn't have any offset field.

- **saad**
  - This instruction is similar to saa but the register's content to be added will be considered 64 bit rather than 32 bit.

- **seqi_snei**
  - This instruction checks whether the value of gp register is equal to the 10 bit constant, specified in the instruction. If equal, then destination register is set otherwise cleared. The translation is provided accordingly.

- **v3mulu**
  - This cavium specific instruction performs 192x64 bit unsigned multiplication. Its execution involves special purpose registers P0, P1, P2, MPL0, MPL1 and MPL2. As
hypervisor has its own copy of special purpose registers, so before multiplication we have to move the contents of these registers to hardware and then execute multiplication.

- **mtm0**
  - This instruction is R type (related with v3mulu instruction), which could be categorized in unprev_R. But it moves the contents of gp register to special purpose register (MPL0).

- **mtm1**
  - This instruction is R type (related with v3mulu instruction), which could be categorized in unprev_R. But it moves the contents of gp register to special purpose register (MPL1).

- **mtm2**
  - This instruction is R type (related with v3mulu instruction), which could be categorized in unprev_R. But it moves the contents of gp register to special purpose register (MPL2).

- **mtp0**
  - This instruction is R type (related with v3mulu instruction), which could be categorized in unprev_R. But it moves the contents of gp register to special purpose register (P0).

- **mtp1**
  - This instruction is R type (related with v3mulu instruction), which could be categorized in unprev_R. But it moves the contents of gp register to special purpose register (P1).

- **mtp2**
  - This instruction is R type (related with v3mulu instruction), which could be categorized in unprev_R. But it moves the contents of gp register to special purpose register (P2).

### 4.2.4 **Branch and Jump Instructions**

These instructions include all variants of branches and jumps. One of the reasons to categorize
them separately is due to the execution of delay slot. In this case, two instructions are translated collectively.

- **bne_beq (branch if not equal, branch if equal)**
  - These are only two branch instructions which use two source registers.
  - Includes: bne, beq (total 2)
  - First the sources registers are loaded into the temp registers and then the delay slot is executed. Branch's source are first loaded due to the fact that delay slot might change the contents of the registers involved in branch. For correct execution of branch its source registers are loaded in temporary registers. Then the actual branch is executed but with different offset because the target address needs translation. If the branch is taken than offset is added in branch's pc and if not 1 is added in the branch's pc, then this address is stored on a particular place and the control is shifted to the handler.

- **Branch**
  - Those branch instructions which use one source register.
  - Includes: bltz, blez, bgez, bgtz, bltzal, bgezal, bbit0, bbit032, bbit1, bbit132 (total 10)
  - First the source register is loaded and then the delay slot is executed. Branch's source are first loaded due to the fact that delay slot might change the contents of the register involved in branch. Then the branch is executed but with different offset because the target address needs translation. If the branch is taken than offset is added in branch's pc and if not 1 is added in the branch's pc, then this address is stored on a particular place and the control is shifted to the handler.

- **bne_beq_likely**
  - Both instructions use two registers but different from the previous bne_beq category due to the fact that the execution of delay slot is conditional. If the branch is taken then the delay slot is executed otherwise not.
  - Includes: beql, bnel (total 2)
  - First the sources registers are loaded into the temp registers and the actual branch is executed but with different offset because the target address needs translation. If the branch is taken than delay slot is executed and offset is added in branch's pc and if not
1 is added in the branch's pc, then this address is stored on a particular place and the control is shifted to the handler.

- **branch_likely**
  - These instructions use one register but different from the previous branch category due to the fact that the execution of delay slot is conditional. If the branch is taken then the delay slot is executed otherwise not.
  - Includes: bltzl, blezl, bgezl, bgtzl, bltzall, bgezall (total 6)
  - First the source register is loaded into the temp register and the actual branch is executed but with different offset because the target address needs translation. If the branch is taken than delay slot is executed and offset is added in branch's pc and if not 1 is added in the branch's pc, then this address is stored on a particular place and the control is shifted to the handler.

- **j (jump)**
  - It doesn't use any source register. It is an “I” type Instruction.
  - Includes: j (total 1)
  - First the delay slot is executed then for executing j the target address needs translation. The address is extracted from instruction encoding and placed at a particular place. Then control is shifted to handler.

- **jr (jump register)**
  - This instruction uses one source register. It is an R type Instruction.
  - Includes: jr (total 1)
  - First the delay slot is executed then for executing jr the target address needs translation. The address is already in the register, it is placed at a particular location in memory. Then control is shifted to handler.

- **jal (jump and link)**
  - It doesn't use any source register. It is an “I” type Instruction and differs from previous “j” due to additional linking operation.
  - Includes: jal (total 1)
  - First the delay slot is executed then for executing jal the target address needs
translation. The address is extracted from instruction encoding and placed at a particular place. Then the linking address (i.e. pc+8) is stored in register 31 and control is shifted to handler.

- **jalr (jump and link register)**
  - This instruction uses one source register. It is an R type Instruction and differs from previous “jr” due to additional linking operation.
  - Includes: jalr (total 1)
  - First the delay slot is executed then for executing jalr the target address needs translation. The address is already in the register, it is placed at a particular location in memory. Then the linking address (i.e. pc+8) is stored in register 31 and control is shifted to handler.

### 4.2.5 CONTROL SHIFTING INSTRUCTIONS

These instructions break the normal execution path and shift the control to exception handler. Executing these instructions as it is on hardware will shift the control to host's exception handler and not of the guest's. During translation, this type of instruction is replaced with the instructions, which will shift control to the hypervisor along with a control mark. Hypervisor will perform exception handling accordingly to control mark value.

- **Trap Instructions:** Trap instructions in a system shift the control to exception handler if the condition is true. This instruction can't be executed as it is on the hardware because if true then the control will shift to host's exception handler. So, the condition is checked before and if true then the control is shifted to handler, otherwise next instruction.

- **teq_tne_R**
  - R type trap instructions, which use two source registers.
  - Includes: teq, tne (total 2)
  - First the condition is evaluated, if true the control is shifted back to hypervisor otherwise next instruction is executed.

- **tge_tgeu_tlt_tltu_R**
  - R type trap instructions, which use two source registers but differs in translation.
• Includes: tge, tgeu, tlt, tltu (total 4)

• teqi_tnei_I
  • I type instruction, with one source register and 1 immediate value.
  • Includes: teqi, tnei (total 2)

• tgei_tgeiu_tlti_tltiu_I
  • I type instruction, with one source register and 1 immediate value but differ in translation.
  • Includes: tgei, tgeiu, tlti, tltin (total 4)

• Syscall: In place of syscall, the control is transferred back to the hypervisor with a specific control mark. Hypervisor service the exception accordingly.

• Break: In place of break, the control is transferred back to the hypervisor with a specific control mark. Hypervisor service the exception accordingly.

4.2.6 Special Instructions

• rdhwr: This is a special instruction which allows reading of some hardware registers while in user mode. Due to current translation, only zero is read into the destination register when this instruction is executed. In case of SMP, it is used to get core number.

• Pref, deret, cache and ssnop: These instructions are replaced with “nop”.

• Wait: IP (interrupt pending) bits of “cause” register are monitored continuously. If anyone of them is set, indicating the presence of external interrupt, control is shifted back to hypervisor for interrupt handling.

4.2.7 Examples of Translation

1. mtc0
   If we have an instruction: mtc0 v0, c0_status. After executing mtc0(), translated instructions would be:
   1. ld t0, offset(a7)
   2. sd t0, offset(a7)
FIGURE 4: Code Snapshot of Mtc0's Translation

The first instruction will load the contents from gp source register and second will store these contents to cp destination register. The offset is created accordingly, as shown in the code. Figure 4 shows the code snapshot of mtc0’s translation method.

2. sll

If the instruction is: sll a1,a1,0x2. Translated instruction would be:

1. ld t0,offset(a7)
2. sll t0,t0,0x2
3. sd t0, offset(a7)

FIGURE 5: Code Snapshot Of Sll's Translation

The first instruction brings the contents from guests gp register into temporary register t0, 2nd instruction executes the actual instruction but in terms of temporary
register. The third instruction stores the result of target address back to the gp register of guest. Figure 5 shows the code snapshot for sll.

4.3 SOFTWARE CACHE

Guest code passes through a translation layer to make it amenable to run under our hypervisor. Currently this translation is done instruction by instruction and the output is then fused together to make a block. By definition one block ends when control flow has more than one option to move forward (e.g. an unconditional jump, if-else structure etc).

Translation is a fairly involved process and it is desirable to do the translation once and re-use it on subsequent execution. There are many repetitive code structures (e.g. loops) where one block is executed more than once. To seize these performance opportunities, each translated cache is stored in a software cache. Software cache is configurable and initially set to a space for keeping 37 blocks. A class named TranslatedBlockCache is implemented which has rich set of functions to store, retrieve and search a block.

4.3.1 CACHE STORAGE

Hash Map based storage: Due to previous hypervisor architecture, translated blocks are copied at a pre-specified place where epilogue and prologue are already present along with some extra software exception handling code. To copy a block at a new location, software cache generates a new copy and stores it in the cache. Due to optimization needs, we replaced this by new array based storage. (For details see section 7)

Array Based Storage: Currently the translated blocks are placed in array of containers. In this method we don’t copy the translated block to any particular place but instead we use the block as it is, when it is created dynamically during translation. We store a pointer to these block in a hash map for reuse.

4.3.2 SEARCHING A BLOCK

Software cache is capable of searching any block in time O(logn) using HashMap that is a C++ Standard Template Library (STL). Hash maps are famous for speedy searching.
Because we have changed our storing mechanism, searching has also changed. Now we find the block in array using a key and return only the pointer to that container. That’s why our searching time of block has changed to $O(1)$.

### 4.3.3 Block Retrieval

Software cache retrieves a block and copies it to a specified location for execution. Retrieval can be based on specific key provided at the time of storage.

Copying the block to new memory location was time consuming operation. So now, we find the presence of block in array and retrieval is done by returning a pointer to that block in array.

### 4.3.4 Replacement Policy

A simple random replacement policy is used to replace a block when the cache is full. A block is randomly selected to replace it with the newly coming block. Replacement policy has also changed.

Now, whenever a new block is required, index is generated on base of given key and old block at that index is replaced by new one. Key is converted to index using eq. $\text{Index} = \text{Key} \mod \text{max capacity}$.

### 4.4 Timer Unit

On actual hardware, Operating system keeps track of time by receiving a timer tick after a configured time. This timer interrupt gives the timing framework to the OS above it.

To provide the timer interrupt to the system, we have to provide a continuous tick to the guest. Timer unit is initialized in a separate thread as the hypervisor is started. The timer Unit thread registers a timer with the host OS (right now it is 1 sec interval). After every timer expiration time, the thread directly receives the SIGALRM from the host OS. On receiving SIGALRM signal, the cause register (IP7 bit) of every core is set. IP7 bit of cause indicates the presence of timer interrupt. If interrupt mask in status register is set then the interrupt would be taken after setting exception code in cause. The interrupt mask is configured by the guest itself. The cause is checked for timer interrupt every time the control is shifted to handleRequest() of hypervisor. So, timer interrupt can also be taken between the block but not necessarily at the exact time it occurred.
The timer bit (i.e. IP7) of cause is cleared, when there is a write operation on compare register. Note that this interrupt isn’t handled by the CIU. CIU can only change IP2, IP3 and IP4 bit of cause register.

4.4.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF COUNT AND CAVIUM COUNT REGISTER

Only setting IP7 in cause register, at timer expiration wasn’t the correct implementation that was needed by the guest OS. Count, Compare and Cavium count registers are hardware register that are used by OS to schedule processes. Although the kernel keep the timing information by incrementing jiffies at every timer interrupt but guest also reads these hardware registers for setting timer with the hardware or getting timing information. These registers are incremented on each clock cycle by hardware and whenever value of Count register become equal to value of compare register an interrupt is generated and notifies guest about timer event which then schedules the processes and again sets time for next timer event.

In the current implementation, a timer is set with the host for 2ns. At timer expiration, Count and Cavium count registers are incremented and if Count becomes equal to compare register an interrupt is generated by setting the IP7 bit of cause register.

Count and Compare are hardware registers and are not readable as GP or CP registers. To read hardware registers, MIPS provide some special instruction (i.e. rdhwr). Same instruction is used to read count register. Implementation of this instruction is given to facilitate guest reading these registers and use them accordingly.

Figure 6 shows the flow chart of timer implementation in hypervisor.
Exceptions cause change in normal execution flow and control is transferred to some exception handling routines, if implemented, or crash the application otherwise. During block execution by hypervisor, two possible exceptions could occur:

- An instruction like trap or syscall, itself shifts control to an exception routine. Exceptions like these are called programmed exceptions.
- An exception like overflow, address error and tlb related exceptions are generated during the execution of instruction. This type of exceptions is unpredictable because they are not programmed.

**FIGURE 6: Timer Implementation**

4.5 **Interrupt and Exception Handling**

Exceptions cause change in normal execution flow and control is transferred to some exception handling routines, if implemented, or crash the application otherwise. During block execution by hypervisor, two possible exceptions could occur:

- An instruction like trap or syscall, itself shifts control to an exception routine. Exceptions like these are called programmed exceptions.
- An exception like overflow, address error and tlb related exceptions are generated during the execution of instruction. This type of exceptions is unpredictable because they are not programmed.
The challenge is to emulate exception handling mechanism in user mode. On an exception, control may go to host kernel and may not return back if not emulated properly. In case of programmed exceptions, the possible emulation is to replace exception-causing instruction with innocuous instructions that explicitly transfer control back to a hypervisor provided handler. The handler could identify actual (exception-causing) instruction from control mask and handle it accordingly. In second case, a signal is raised that could be caught to handle the exception. Once the control is available in hypervisor, exception handling routine could be called to do the rest.

In our implementations, Perform_Exception() is called to set various exception related registers. Exception code is set in cause register. EI, EXL and/or ERL bits of status register are set to indicate the presence of an exception. EPC register is set with the program counter (pc) of exception-causing instruction. According to the exception type, exception entry point is assigned to current pc so that new block could be fetched from there.

When the exception routine is completely executed, eret instruction is called. eret is privileged instruction and cannot be executed on hardware as it is (from user mode). To emulate it, we check the status register and then accordingly set pc back to the address from where exception has actually occurred. Figure 7 shows the overall flow and Figure 8 shows a snippet of hypervisor code, dealing with exception handling.

Entry point for all exceptions is generic except for tlb. For example, invalid tlb entry encountered while executing load/store instruction lead to tlb refill exception. The entry point for tlb refill exception is different from that of others. In case of nested exception (e.g. exception raised in an exception routine), general exception entry point is used and corresponding instruction pc is placed in EPC register.

Interrupts are caused by external devices in order to rather communicate or in response to a request. Timer unit creates continuous interrupts in a running system for providing timing information. UART also communicate with the cores through generating interrupt.
FIGURE 7: Exception handling in user mode

case HANDLE_SYSCALL_INT: {
    printf("SysCall\n");
    Gobj->prev_PC = *blockStartPC;
    Gobj->prev_PC = TLB_Exception::Perform_Exception(core0->getCP0(), HANDLE_SYSCALL_INT);
    Block Manipulation::setUContext(Gobj->prev_PC, FETCH_NEXT_BLOCK);
    fetchPlaceBlock(GVA);
    return true;
    break;
}
case HANDLE_OTHER_INT: {
    Gobj->prev_PC = *blockStartPC;
    printf("HANDLE_OTHER_INT\n");
    P::EPRINTVAL(cntrlMark);
    break;
}
default: {
    switch(cntrlMark) {
        case HANDLE_TLB_PROBE: {
            if(DBG)printf("HANDLE_TLB_PROBE\n");
            core0->getTLB()->tlb_probe();
            if(DBG)printf("Index Register = 0x\%16llx\n", core0->getTLB()->get_index());
            return true;
            break;
        }
    }
}

FIGURE 8: Code snippet showing the emulation of exception handling
4.5.1 SIGFPE: Floating Point Exception Handling

This exception is thrown if the result of an operation is invalid or cause divide-by-zero, underflow or overflow. On production of such results during guest code execution, underlying hardware generates SIGFPE signal. Our hypervisor provide a handler to catch this signal. When control comes to this handler, we redirect it to the exception routine of guest operating system. After executing exception routine, control comes back to the handler form where it is jumped back to the immediate next instruction of exception-causing instruction.

4.5.2 SYSCALL: System Call Handling

The system call is the fundamental interface between user mode programs and Linux kernel. syscall() is a small library function that invokes the system call whose assembly language interface has specified number and type of arguments. Whenever the syscall instruction comes in guest code, control is transferred to hypervisor code and then redirected to corresponding exception handling routine of guest operating system. The remaining mechanism remains same as above.

4.5.3 TLB and Address Error Exception Handling

When the load/store instruction has to be performed in hypervisor first the address on which the load or store has to be performed, is translated into hypervisor address. During this translation, privileges are checked, whether this address is allowed to be accessed or not. If not then address error exception is generated and the next block fetched would be from the exception entry point.

Now, if we have an address which is not violating any privileges, then the contents are looked up in our tlb. If the invalid bit or dirty bit is set or no entry is present in the tlb then corresponding exception Mod, TLBL or TLBS is generated.

4.5.4 Modification in Exception Handling

Context register was not set before in case of exception because this register is normally used in 32-bit mode but guest was using this register in user mode. Now context register is also been set so guest can read it and perform exception handling correctly.
4.5.5 EXTERNAL INTERRUPTS

Interrupts are caused by the external devices like timer and UART. When an interrupt occurs it set the “pendingInterrupt” variable, which indicates that external interrupt is present. Before fetching the next block, it is checked whether there is any pending interrupts or not. If they are present then some particular bits of status are checked to determine this interrupt should be passed or not. The exception code set for the interrupt is zero and routed to general exception entry point. It is the responsibility of the kernel handler to figure out what kind of interrupt has occurred and dispatch it to proper handler.

4.6 SMP SUPPORT

As mentioned in our high level design, every core will be running in a separate thread that will make our hypervisor a multithreaded process. For providing SMP support, some code level structural changes were needed (e.g. removing all global variables and creating separate objects for each core). Figure 11 shows the multithreaded view of hypervisor, with cores and CIU as separate threads. First hypervisor initialize the necessary data structures and objects. Then it loads uboot binary and dork child threads according to the number of cores initialized and other parallel units.

Initially only Core 0 is running and other cores are is sleep mode. After some booting process core 0 enables all other cores. This enabling and controlling mechanism is carried out through CIU (Central Interrupt Unit). The other mechanisms like fetch, translate and execution of blocks remains the same for all cores (section 4.2). Figure 12 shows the modified flow chart of hypervisor.

4.6.1 INTER-CORE COMMUNICATION THROUGH CIU

For Cavium mips64, inter-core communication is performed through CIU. Specific CIU registers (like CIU_Fuse, CIU_NMI , CIU_PP_RST and mailbox registers ) are used during interrupt dispatching and identification. CIU_Fuse register contains the information about the number of processors in the hardware. Operating system can have have this information by reading CIU_Fuse register.
After some initial booting process core 0 signals other cores to initialize themselves. To do so, primary core (i.e. core 0) sets a bit corresponding to the particular core in CIU_PP_RST register and that core initializes itself on low power mode.

FIGURE 9: Multithreaded view of hypervisor with configured number of cores and external device unit (i.e. CIU)
Our CIU unit and other cores are all in separate threads. The threads running cores (else than primary core) will initially be in sleep mode. Core 0 sends NMI pulse to each core by setting corresponding bit in CIU_NMI register, secondary cores goes out of low power modes and start initializing core.
4.7 IO DEVICE MANAGEMENT

In hypervisor, each core and IO device is emulated in separate thread. When a core has to communicate with any device it either reads or writes IO device register. Corresponding IO device is notified and device updates its flags according to the operation. Implementing each device in a separate thread enables maximum parallelization.

To notify IO device thread, a separate class is defined named DeviceMessageBox. It contains address which is being accessed, data which is being written to the register at specified address and whether it is read/write operation. Some posix variables are also part of DeviceMessageBox which are required for thread communication.

At time being, only 2 IO devices are implemented

1. UART (Universal Asynchronous Receiver Transmitter)
2. CIU (Central Interrupt Unit)

4.7.1 UART

The UART is typically used for serial communication with a peripheral, modem (data carrier equipment, DCE), or data set. Either a core or a remote host can use the UART. The cores transfer bytes to and receive characters from the UART core via 64-bit CSR accesses. The UART core transfers and receives the characters serially. Either polling (during booting/ in kernel mode) or interrupts (after booting/ in user space) can be used to transfer the bytes. Processor communicates with console and keyboard using UART device. So, its implementation was inevitable for a complete booting system.

There are basically 12 register in UART.

- **RBR (Receiver Buffer Register):** Receiver buffer register contains data received from input device. Whenever data is received, “Data Available” flag is set in LSR and an interrupt is generated by the UART so that processor can get received data.
- **THR (Transmitter Holding Register):** Transmitter holding register contains data which is being transmitted to the output device. Whenever this register is written, “THR empty” flag is cleared in LSR and UART starts transmitting data. After data is
being transferred successfully, “THR empty” flag is set in LSR and interrupt is generated to tell the processor that UART is idle now and ready to send new data.

- **IEC (Interrupt Enable Register):** Interrupts are not generated unless UART is told to do so. Processor enables UART interrupts by setting corresponding flags in Interrupt enable register.

- **IIR (Interrupt Identification Register):** Whenever an interrupt is occurred, processor jumps to its interrupt routine. The interrupt routine must know which kind of event caused that interrupt so that it can service it properly. IIR register tells the processor about the cause of interrupt.

- **FCR (FIFO Control Register):** FIFO is used in UART for both receiver buffer and transmitter buffer. Whenever data is received, it is placed in RBR. But if RBR is not empty then data is moved to receiver FIFO. When UART is transmitting data and new data is provided by processor then it is placed in transmitter FIFO. FCR is used to control the behavior of the FIFOs.

- **LCR (Line Control Register):** LCR is set at initialization time and controls the parameters of line. Parity and number of data bits can be changed using LCR. DLD and DLH can also be accessed by setting “DLAB” flag in this register.

- **MCR (Modem Control Register):** MCR register is used to perform handshaking actions with attached devices. Setting and resetting of control registers is done using this register.

- **LSR (Line Status Register):** LSR shows the current state of communication. Errors are reflected in this register. The state of receiver and transmitter buffers is also available.

- **MSR (Modem Status Register):** MSR contains information about the four incoming modem control lines on the device. The information is split in two nibbles. The four most significant bits contain information about the current state of the inputs where the least significant bits are used to indicate state changes. The four LSB’s are reset, each time the register is read.

- **SCR (Scratch Register):** There is no use of this register in UART communication. Sometimes it may be used by processor to store a single byte.
• **DLL (Divisor Latch LSB) and DLM (Divisor Latch MSB):** For generating its timing information, UART uses an oscillator. Oscillator frequency is divided by 16 and obtained value is further divided by value placed in Divisor Latch registers. In this way, baud-rate of UART is adjusted. For error free communication, both receiver and transmitter UART have same timing base i.e. have same baud-rate.

**Implementation in UART**

There are basically two functions of UART. Transmit data provided by the processor and receive data from input devices. For both these functionalities we have separate threads called Receiver Thread and Transmitter thread.

- **Receiver Thread:** The purpose of receiver thread is to handover data to processor which we input using keyboard. This thread continuously checks for availability of input from keyboard. Whenever input is available, it sets “Data Available” flag in LSR and generates an interrupt. When processor reads received data, “Data Available” flag is cleared from LSR.

- **Transmitter Thread:** The purpose of transmitter thread is to transmit data which is being provided by the processor. Transmitter thread helps the processor in printing all the messages on the console. After transmitting data, it sets “THR is empty” flag in status register and generates an interrupt to tell processor that UART is free now for further transmission.

- **Interrupt Generation:** When UART performs an operation, it checks it IER. If interrupt for corresponding action is enabled, it sets appropriate flags in IIR and notifies the CIU thread about interrupt generation. CIU reads enable registers of all the cores to check if any core wants to receive UART interrupt. If it finds the core with enabled UART interrupt, it sets summary register for that core and generates interrupt. Core jump to its interrupt routine and service the interrupt.
4.7.2 CENTRAL INTERRUPT UNIT (CIU)

CIU is responsible for dispatching interrupt requests (coming) from external devices to a particular core. CIU is discussed here in context of our test bed i.e. Cavium Networks OCTEON Plus CN57XX evaluation board [1]. CIU distributes a total of 37 interrupts i.e. 3 per core plus 1 for PCIe. Three interrupts for each core set/unset bit 10, 11, 12 of Cause register of the core. Using these cause register bits, interrupt handler of a core could prioritize different interrupts.

Interrupt requests from external devices are accumulated in a 72-bit summary vectors with naming convention CIU_INT<core#>_SUM<0|1|4>. Summarized interrupts reach to their ultimate destination by using corresponding 72 bits interrupt enable vector with naming convention CIU_INT<core#>_EN<0|1> and CIU_INT<core#>_EN4_<0|1>.

Interaction of CIU, external devices and cores is shown in figure 9 (a). CIU reads memory mapped registers of the external devices to know about pending interrupt requests and sets corresponding bits of cause register of target core. Figure 9 (b) shows a simplest description of the internal working of CIU, where interrupt identification/handling is done in software.

We have implemented a simplest abstraction of CIU. It has been integrated in a copy of main hypervisor code and works as a separate thread. CIU is only reading CP0's cause register. As UART is not fully developed yet, UART's memory mapped registers are artificial (for the time being). UART writing and other devices would be implemented in future. CIU itself has set of summary and enable registers for every core. An interrupt request goes to only those cores that had enabled the interrupt by configuring its enable register. In current code, CIU reads UART's Interrupt Identification Register (IIR), extracts identity bits and set/clear the corresponding summary registers bits. These summary registers for every core are than “AND” with their enable registers to set or clear cause register's bit 10, 11 and 12.
FIGURE 11(A): (CIU) Interrupt distribution from external devices to core

FIGURE 9(B): Internal working of CIU, inwards arrow comes from external devices and outward arrow goes to all cores
In integrated code, shared memory regions are defined for CIU to work with other components of virtual board (see figure 1). Figure 10 shows these shared memory regions for core0, CIU and a single device i.e. UART. Region overlapping and dotted lines represent the accessibility and access mode of registers, respectively. For example, CP0 Cause register belongs to core0, CIU can access it but UART cannot. As Cause register belongs to core0, it can be read-written by core0 but it is read-only for CIU. IIR register of UART is read-only for CIU and Core0, hence it is at the intersection of three regions and have dotted boundary. CIU's summary registers are read-only for core0, hence dotted and at the intersection of two regions. As CIU's enable register is readable and writeable for core0 and CIU, it has solid boundary and lies in overlapped region.

5 VIRTUAL DISK

A virtual disk (also known as a virtual drive or a RAM drive) is a file that represents as a physical disk drive to a guest operating system. The main idea of providing disk to guest was to create persistence of data across boot. The guest should be able to create and store files on the drive. A simple way of achieving the goal is to create a RAM disk.

A RAM disk is a virtual hard drive stored in RAM. A RAM disk can show the necessary file system to the guest. Hypervisor creates a ram disk file by using the “mkfs” command, mount it
and map it to hypervisor’s RAM region. So, when guest will write to its ram disk, hypervisor will write it to the ram disk file on the host system. But the only problem was that, by definition ram disk don’t persist data on reboot. For persistence, the ram disk file we create for the guest is saved on shutdown by hypervisor and the contents will be loaded on the next reboot.

FIGURE 13: RAM disk Implementation in Hypervisor
6 Testing Infrastructure

Testing infrastructure involves MIPS64 evaluation board with multicore Octeon processor, hardware debugger (JTAG), development system and testing routines. We need rigorous testing to make sure that guest kernels run in complete isolation from each other and from host kernel. Similarly, on each instruction execution in virtualized environment, changes to system state should imitate the changes made by executing the same in real environment.

6.1 Test Cases

Hypervisor manipulates (i.e. emulation/code patching) guest code to use privileged hardware resources controlled by host kernel. Hence, various test cases are needed to make sure the consistency and integrity of guest code. Up to current deliverable, our focus is on the test cases discussed in following subsections.

6.1.1 Matching System States

In our case, system state consists of the values of general purpose registers and some of coprocessor 0 (CP0) registers at a particular instance. In order to verify the correct working of hypervisor, we run (same) executable binary directly on Cavium MIPS64 board and through hypervisor. We get real system state on each privileged instruction by using JTAG and compare both outputs (hypervisor and JTAG) for verification. JTAG provides the facility of setting hardware breakpoints at each privileged instruction to stop and take log of system state. Without setting breakpoints, it logs the state at every instruction execution.

6.1.2 Execution Path

Due to emulation and code patching, guest code execution path may differ from that of the same binary running directly on board. Taking Log at breakpoints may fail due to unavailability of a priori information about execution path of guest code. For example, if guest code sway from the path containing some breakpoint, we would not be able to take system state at that breakpoint and state matching test result will be misleading.

Logging system state after each instruction execution could help in avoiding the situation of taking wrong execution path. This allows us to debug the potential causes of error (if any) by looking at system state before and after the execution of malfunctioning instruction. However,
there is inherent overhead of logging state at each instruction execution. There were about 339351 instructions executed by u-boot. JTAG created a file of about 6MB in approximately 7 hours. Generated file contains data (i.e. general purpose registers + CP0 registers content) of about 2600 states. To reduce state logging time, we decided to use a small binary (i.e. code for irrelevant external devices is commented out) and take log on Quick Emulator (QEMU). To take log on QEMU, we used the expertise of another HPCNL team working on a different project titled “System Mode Emulation in QEMU”.

6.1.3 COMPARING CONSOLE OUTPUT

On reaching the stage where console is get attached with our hypervisor, the binaries, executing within hypervisor, starts emitting messages on console. It serves as another way of validation, whereby output of our hypervisor is compared with that of real MIPS system.

6.1.4 PROGRESS

The progress is tracked by identifying labeled blocks, in binary code. The blocks are identified by following the control flow of binary. When the instructions in one block are executed, its label is noted and control is conditionally/unconditionally transferred to the next block in control flow. This way we measure the progress that how many blocks have been executed and how many left.

Emulation and code patching may lead to infinite loops in the code. For example, if emulation/patching changes system state in such a way that control is transferred to one of prior blocks of the current block, the hypervisor will enter into an infinite loop. We need to avoid the situations like this in order to make progress.

6.2 TESTING WITH SMP SUPPORT

Testing and Debugging with single core was much easier. But for multi-core the testing and debugging has become a difficult task. As every core executes its piece of code, the corresponding log file is written separately for each of them. Each log file for every processor contains the original instruction block (guest instructions), its corresponding translated block (host instructions) as well as a state of all GP registers and CP0 registers. This information is enough to check whether the corresponding block executed correctly or not. The original and
translated blocks in the log verify the correctness of translation. Remaining information is used to determine whether corresponding instruction is correctly emulated or not.

6.3 Booting with Customized Minimal Initramfs File

The file system upon which the root directory can be mounted and which contains the files necessary to bring the system to a state where other file systems can be mounted and user space daemons and applications are started, is called rootfs. The kernel boot process concludes with the init code (see init/main.c) whose primary purpose is to create and populate an initial root file system with a set of directories and files. It then tries to launch the first user mode process to run an executable file found on this initial file system. This first process ("init") is always given process ID 1. Once the init process is started it typically begins to launch other user space programs. On a desktop or server system this is known as the sysvinit process and includes the set of scripts found (typically) under /etc/rc.d.

In default rootfs file, most of the scripts are used to initialize and communicate with external devices. As we have not yet implemented these devices, the default rootfs cannot be used. So, we have created our minimal initramfs, which only have console as mounted device and contains no initializing scripts. This file performs the basic I/O operations like creating, reading, writing a file and process creation. The file doesn’t involve any external communication with the devices.

We have also executed the same binary containing our customized minimal initramfs on CN57XX Cavium MIPS64 board. It shows the same output on the console as our hypervisor.

6.4 Virtual Ethernet Card Detection

For Ethernet card detection, we configured the kernel with networking and executed the guest. The hypervisor successfully detects the virtual Ethernet card, as shown in the booting log below. It detects the standard e1000 network interface.
FIGURE 14: Booting Log Of Hypervisor, Showing The Detection Of Ethernet
7 Test Results

The sample output of system state test, execution path test, TLB, page table, CIU and hypervisor console is elaborated in this section.

7.1 Output of System State Matching Test

We trap at every instruction to create a state-file. This state-file is matched with QEMU log state-file to see if any register contains different contents. Mismatches are written in other file as shown in Figure 13.

![Output file](image)

**Figure 15: Output of system state matching test**
7.2 Output of Execution Path Test

We face difficulties in debugging if QEMU log is missing instruction log at different points. To ensure that the hypervisor is on the right track we match the Program Counter (PC) values taken by hypervisor and all the PC values taken in QEMU log, as shown in figure 14.

![Output of execution path test](image)

FIGURE 16: Output of execution path test

7.3 Output of TLB Testing

To test TLB mechanism, random TLB entries are generated and searched in TLB. A TLB miss is obvious because the entry is newly generated. Hence, probe bit is set and TLB write-random function is called to place this entry at the index present in CP0 random register. Random register is incremented and entry is searched again. On TLB hit, we call TLB read to fetch the entry from the index set by TLB probe, as shown in Figure 15.
FIGURE 17: Searching for random TLB

Then TLB write-index function is called that writes TLB entry at the index present in index register. As index register was set by TLB probe, it writes the entry at same index that was previously written by TLB write-random. TLB probe and TLB read are called again and then a new random entry is generated. This process is repeated 640 times.
As TLB could have 64 entries at max, additional entries require a replacement policy. After setting all entries, TLB entries are printed, as shown in figure 16. To test page table, a random GVA is generated and searched in the page table. Obviously, there is no matching entry in page table because this is the newly generated address. Hence, it maps a new memory region and returns its address. This process is repeated several times. Each time it maps a new region, places translation in page table and returns translated address. The output is shown in figure 17(a). After creating appropriate entries in page table, same process is repeated again for all the generated addresses and we get valid translation now, as shown in figure 17(b). Then whole page table is printed in figure 17(c) and reverse page table, shown in figure 17(d), is also managed to use for future testing of hypervisor.
FIGURE 19: output of TLB and page table testing. Searching entries in (a) empty page table, and (b) page table having valid entries. (c) whole page table with valid translation. (d) reverse mapping of page table.
7.4  OUTPUT OF CIU TESTING

Artificial UART registers are read to test the code. UART registers were set to see the effect on the 10, 11 and 12 bits of cause register. If Interrupt ID (IID) field of IIR is 1 than there is no pending interrupt request. Otherwise, it represents the ID of pending interrupt. In actual system enable register is set by the system but here we are setting it explicitly. The cause register is initialized with garbage value every time because CIU will only change the 9, 10 and 11 bits of cause register.

In source code of figure 18, mio_uart0 IIR register is set to 6 to show that “Receiver line status” interrupt is present. Similarly, mio_uart1 IIR register is set to 1 to represent no interrupt. Only core0's enable register is set. And all the other cores have disabled the hardware interrupts. Output for core 0 in figure 18 shows that initially cause register is initialized by a garbage value.

```
int main() {
    uint64_t mio_uart0_iir=6, mio_uart1_iir=1;
    uint64_t cause[12];
    CIU ciu;
    int iid0= 0, iid1=0;,
    ciu.INT_EN0[0].set_UART0_EN(1); //for testing
    // ciu.INT_EN0[1].set_UART1_EN(1); //for testing
    while(1){
        //for debugging
    }
```

FIGURE 20: Output of CIU. No pending interrupt on core 1
The summary register's 34th bit (uart 0) is set, making it 400000000. Corresponding 34th bit in enable register is also set, which means that the 9th bit of cause will be set. The enable register for 10th and 11th bit are zero, so cause bits would be cleared. Initially the xxxxxxxxxx6ac8 is changed to xxxxxxxxxx66c8 by setting 9th bit and clearing 10th and 11th bit. For core 1, as none of the enable registers are configured so three bits would be cleared i.e. xxxxxxxxxx6770 changes to xxxxxxxxxx6370.

In figure 19, uart0 has no interrupt and uart1 is receiving an interrupt with id 6. For core0, bit 9 and 10 of enable register is set and cause register is get initialized with garbage value. As summary register shows the presence of an interrupt and bit 35 is set, it means that uart1 interrupt is present. Its enable register should also be set for uart1, in order to pass on the pending interrupt. Hence, bit 9 and 11 will be cleared and bit 10 will be set for core 0 i.e. xxxxxxxxdac8 changes to xxxxxxxxcac8 in the output. For core1, nothing is enabled so all three bits would be cleared i.e. xxxxxxxxd770 changes to xxxxxxxxc370.

FIGURE 21: Output of CIU. No pending interrupt on core 0
7.5 OUTPUT OF HYPERVISOR CONSOLE

During execution, if UART’s memory mapped registers are written by the core, UART display it in on the console. To validate virtual execution of binaries, hypervisor console output (e.g. shown in Figure 20) was compared with that of real host system console. (For complete log please look at the VM booting log file attached)

![Boot log of hypervisor](image-url)

**FIGURE 22:** Booting log of hypervisor
8 PERFORMANCE OPTIMIZATION

Virtualization solutions are notorious for performance bottlenecks. To optimize performance of hypervisor and guest code, it is necessary to find these bottlenecks to tune code for performance improvement.

8.1 PERFORMANCE TUNING

First step in performance tuning is to identify the most time consuming functions of hypervisor code that are called during execution of guest code. We collected running time of all called functions to identify the hot spots in code. Each function is optionally instrumented to introduce time keeping code at the start and end of each function code. It gives us total time consumed by the function. Total time of a function also includes the time consumed by the functions called by this function. Net (or self) time is then calculated by subtracting the total time consumed by all callees, from the total time of caller function. Another important performance metric is the call count of a function i.e. how many times a function is called. Sample output of sorted flat profile of hypervisor is shown in Table 1. The data shows that address translation is taking much more time as compared to other functions and it should be optimized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Function Name</th>
<th>Net Time (sec)</th>
<th>Total Time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>49107203</td>
<td>MMUTranslator::GVAtOGPA</td>
<td>2326.3283</td>
<td>4572.8963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29513958</td>
<td>BlockExecController::fetchnPlaceBlock</td>
<td>2283.8921</td>
<td>3057.8145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50000001</td>
<td>BlockExecController::handleRequest</td>
<td>1836.5265</td>
<td>5972.9834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49107203</td>
<td>GPAtoHVA::GPA_to_HVA</td>
<td>1221.9575</td>
<td>1511.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49107203</td>
<td>MMU::verify_privileges</td>
<td>1063.2158</td>
<td>1378.4329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49107203</td>
<td>GPAtoHVA::GVAtOGPA</td>
<td>852.3648</td>
<td>5487.4371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47218428</td>
<td>MMU::look_staic_translation_32bit</td>
<td>789.6234</td>
<td>896.4091</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Software Cache Implementation:** To optimize address translation, we implemented a translation cache. Once we translate an address, we place its translation in translation cache and when next time translation for that address is required we don’t need to repeat all steps to get translation. We can directly convert Guest Virtual Address (GVA) to Host Virtual Address (HVA) using this cache. Whenever we need translation for address, we call GVAToHVATranslator::GVAToHVA. First it checks whether translation is present in cache. If present, it will directly get translation from cache otherwise GVA is converted to Guest Physical Address (GPA) and then GPA is converted to HVA.

After this optimization, we generated sorted flat profile again. It shows significant performance improvement in MMUTranslator::GVAToGPA, as shown in Table 2. Due to this optimization, we do not need GVA-to-GPA and GPA-to-HVA translation frequently and lead to reduction in call count. Reduction in call count of MMUTranslator::GVAToGPA significantly reduces its total time.

**TABLE 2: SORTED FLAT PROFILE AFTER SOFTWARE CACHE IMPLEMENTATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Function Name</th>
<th>Net Time (sec)</th>
<th>Total Time (sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29513958</td>
<td>BlockExecController::fetchnPlaceBlock</td>
<td>2283.8921</td>
<td>2780.8145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50000001</td>
<td>BlockExecController::handleRequest</td>
<td>1804.6144</td>
<td>4351.9108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49107203</td>
<td>GVAToHVATranslator::GVAToHVA</td>
<td>742.5720</td>
<td>1468.1078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49106050</td>
<td>GVAToHVATranslator::Check_cache</td>
<td>449.7157</td>
<td>449.7157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35715</td>
<td>MMUTranslator::GVAToGPA</td>
<td>1.306426</td>
<td>2.650513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35716</td>
<td>GPAtoHVATranslator::translate_GPA_to_HVA</td>
<td>0.270219</td>
<td>0.270219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26628</td>
<td>MMUTranslator::look_staic_translation_32bit</td>
<td>0.178782</td>
<td>0.310867</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**In-Place Block Execution:** Although using cache has shown its advantage but it was not quite enough. Changing some code level implementations and applying In-place block execution had proved more beneficial. Given below is current improved timing profile of the hypervisor. As we have eliminated the block copying step, fetchnplaceBlock has reduced the time dramatically.
8.2 PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENTS

For improving performance we have made some changes on code implementation level and also in the hardware used. The improvements are mentioned in detail below.

8.2.1 CODE STRUCTURAL ENHANCEMENT

Previously, we were using some C++ standard STL containers for performing many operations. But they were time consuming. A considerable numbers of calls to these STL containers were deteriorating our performance. Using non-standard implementation has improved the overall timing of system.

**IN-Place Execution:** Initially, we were using C++ standard vector to store translated block (host executable instructions). Before execution we have to relocate this translated block onto a predefined memory place. This memory to memory copying takes some time, which increases the execution time almost double. To avoid this memory-to-memory unnecessary coping, we need to execute the translated block in vector. But we cannot do so because of unavailability of execution rights on vector. Alternatively, we implemented an allocator for vector so that execution rights of vector can be changed and block can be executed directly without copying.

**Using Non-Standard C++ Hashmap:**

We were using C++ standard hashmap to store the TCBs (Translated Cache Block) and GVAtоФVA (Guest-Virtual-Address to Host-Virtual-Address) translated Addresses. A TCB is a
set of host executable instructions got after translation of guest executable instructions. It is stored into a key-value pair hashmap. The “key” to search into hashmap is a 64 bit virtual address, which is a starting address of TCB in terms of guest virtual address and “value” against that key is a TCB. This hashmap is used for reusability of TCBs. Whenever a translated cached block is required again for execution, it can be directly used after obtaining from hashmap container if the corresponding “key” of TCB is matched. Thus, there is no need to re-fetch and retranslate the required guest’s instruction block again. Theoretically, by doing so there should be an improvement in performance but in practice it significantly reduced the timing efficiency. Most of the time is wasted during searching the required TCB in the hashmap container. To resolve this problem, we used an array and implemented an efficient hashing function to find the index of required TCB quickly into the hashmap container. This made the cached block searching timing efficient. Similar mechanism is applied for GVAtoHVA address translation.

8.2.2 HARDWARE PLATFORM

A significant improvement in time was observed when evaluation board was changed.

The older evaluation board has the following specifications:

**TABLE 4: SPECIFICATION OF OLD EVALUATION BOARD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Processors</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>2GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLB_entries</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU Model</td>
<td>Cavium Octeon II V0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The new evaluation board has the following specifications:

**TABLE 5: SPECIFICATION OF NEW EVALUATION BOARD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Processors</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAM</td>
<td>8GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TLB_entries</td>
<td>128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU Model</td>
<td>Cavium Octeon II V0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8.3 Potential Future Optimization

Various other optimizations are also possible to avoid performance degradation of code executed in virtual environment. We have plans to implement few promising techniques along the course and their effect will be available in the future deliverables. Here we briefly describe the potential optimization techniques.

8.3.1 Block Linking

In-place execution also necessitates the linkage of blocks so that guest code could follow the intended execution path of code. It is expected to improve the performance due to less intervention of hypervisor.

8.3.2 Compiler Like Translation Optimization

In current implementation of hypervisor, execution context is confined to single instruction of the block. Data produced/consumed by the instruction is updated immediately. On the other hand, compilers often have a broader vision and do not update data immediately if it is going to be consumed by some following instruction(s). We may opt for this optimization in future deliverables.

8.3.3 Data TLB Checking in Epilogue

Moving data TLB checking to the epilogue of a block is likely to reduce the C-assembly code jumps. Software exception handling is expensive and this optimization could lead to infrequent software exceptions.

8.3.4 Static Analysis and Modification

Preprocessing of guest binaries by static analysis is likely to reduce the runtime overhead. Static code modifications could avoid block translation at runtime. However, there are some corner cases that could only be handled until we have the runtime information.

8.3.5 Performance Counter Monitoring

Instead of using instrumentation of functions, we could fine tune performance using performance monitoring unit (PMU) of modern hardware. The real challenge of this optimization is to select the most appropriate performance counters. A deep system level understanding is required to collect and analyze the data collected using performance counters.
8.4 Correctness Related Bug Fixes

Some of the instructions were not translated correctly before. Instruction like LL and SC implements atomic load and store operations. They require a lock for its correct implementation. MADD (multiple and add) instruction requires the loading of host’s special lo and hi registers before its execution.

Hypervisor was showing unexpected behavior by suddenly crashing the program randomly during its execution. But if we add a system call after specific intervals, hypervisor shows the correct execution of the system. This illogical behavior was due to the fact that we were using data memory as an instruction memory in hypervisor code. When data (guest code) is used as an executable instruction then data and instruction caches interleaves with each other, producing illogical results. We resolved this issue by flushing the data cache in hypervisor code whenever we needed to execute a new guest block.

9 Impact on Project Progress

We have faced some really complicated problems which were hard to debug and time consuming. Some recent changes in TLB implementation and exception handling are good example of that. Addition of virtual disk and network detection has enhanced the guest system. Over all it has a positive impact on project progress.
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